UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

SHREVEPORT DIVISION

DEMARIO LITTLE CIVIL ACTION NO. 13-206-P

VERSUS JUDGE FOOTE

GLORIA EVANS, ET AL. MAGISTRATE JUDGE HORNSBY

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

In accordance with the standing order of this court, this matter was referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge for review, report and recommendation.

STATEMENT OF CLAIM

Before the court is a civil rights complaint filed <u>in forma pauperis</u> by <u>pro se</u> plaintiff Demario Little ("Plaintiff"), pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This complaint was received and filed in this court on January 24, 2013. Plaintiff claims his civil rights were violated by prison officials while detained at the Caddo Correctional Center in Shreveport, Louisiana. He names Gloria Evans, A.L. Dinkins, Jr., and Captain Farris as defendants.

On July 14, 2015, this court ordered Plaintiff to furnish the Clerk with a copy of his complaint, two completed summonses, and one completed USM 285 form for each Defendant for service. [Doc. 17]. However, that Memorandum Order was returned to this court on July 23, 2015, by the United States Postal Service marked "RETURN TO SENDER - GONE." To date, Plaintiff has not informed this court of his new address.

All parties have the responsibility of promptly reporting to the court and to all other parties any change in the mailing address. Failure to do so shall be considered cause for dismissal for failure to prosecute. See ULLR 41.3W.

Accordingly;

PREJUDICE, sua sponte, for failure to prosecute, pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as interpreted by the court and under the court's inherent power to control its own docket. See Link v. Wabash Railroad Company, 370 U.S. 626, 82 S.Ct. 1386 (1962); Rogers v. Kroger Company, 669 F.2d 317, 320-321 (5th Cir. 1983).

OBJECTIONS

Under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), parties aggrieved by this recommendation have fourteen (14) days from service of this Report and Recommendation to file specific, written objections with the Clerk of Court, unless an extension of time is granted under Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b). A party may respond to another party's objections within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy thereof. Counsel are directed to furnish a courtesy copy of any objections or responses to the District Judge at the time of filing.

A party's failure to file written objections to the proposed findings, conclusions and recommendation set forth above, within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy shall bar that party, except upon grounds of plain error, from attacking, on appeal, the

proposed factual findings and legal conclusions that were accepted by the district court and that were not objected to by the aforementioned party. See Douglas v. U.S.A.A., 79 F.3d 1415 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc).

THUS DONE AND SIGNED, in chambers, in Shreveport, Louisiana, on this 12th day of August 2015.

Mark L. Hornsby U.S. Magistrate Judge